

Transcriptional regulation by Ferric Uptake Regulator (Fur) in pathogenic bacteria


Abstract

In the ancient anaerobic environment, ferrous iron (Fe^{2+}) was one of the first metal cofactors. Oxygenation of the ancient world challenged bacteria to acquire the insoluble ferric iron (Fe^{3+}) and later to defend against reactive oxygen species (ROS) generated by the Fenton chemistry. To acquire Fe^{3+} , bacteria produce low-molecular weight compounds, known as siderophores, which have extremely high affinity for Fe^{3+} . However, during infection the host restricts iron from pathogens by producing iron- and siderophore-chelating proteins, by exporting iron from intracellular pathogen-containing compartments, and by limiting absorption of dietary iron. Ferric Uptake Regulator (Fur) is a transcription factor which utilizes Fe^{2+} as a corepressor and represses siderophore synthesis in pathogens. Fur, directly or indirectly, controls expression of enzymes that protect against ROS damage. Thus, the challenges of iron homeostasis and defense against ROS are addressed via Fur. Although the role of Fur as a repressor is well-documented, emerging evidence demonstrates that Fur can function as an activator. Fur activation can occur through three distinct mechanisms (1) indirectly via small RNAs, (2) binding at *cis* regulatory elements that enhance recruitment of the RNA polymerase holoenzyme (RNAP), and (3) functioning as an antirepressor by removing or blocking DNA binding of a repressor of transcription. In addition, Fur homologs control defense against peroxide stress (PerR) and control uptake of other metals such as zinc (Zur) and manganese (Mur) in pathogenic bacteria. Fur family members are important for virulence within bacterial pathogens since mutants of *fur*, *perR*, or *zur* exhibit reduced virulence within numerous animal and plant models of infection. This review focuses on the breadth of Fur regulation in pathogenic bacteria.

Transition metals are essential elements in biological systems. Metabolic pathways, DNA synthesis, RNA synthesis, and protein synthesis are dependent on the availability of the appropriate metal cofactor. In support of this, all cells have designated gene products that transport metals to maintain cellular function; however, certain essential metals cause the formation of toxic reactive oxygen species (ROS). In the earliest description of what is now known as the Fenton reaction, iron (Fe) was shown to act catalytically in the oxidation of tartaric acid. The Fenton reaction produces the hydroxyl radical ($\text{HO}\cdot$), a ROS capable of oxidizing macromolecules and lipids. Therefore, cells must tightly regulate the concentration of Fe to avoid ROS-mediated cell damage.

Bacteria sense their environment and alter expression of genes that promote survival. This is accomplished by transcription factors that regulate expression of beneficial or detrimental genes. In order to acquire Fe in Fe-limiting environments, bacteria and fungi synthesize and secrete low molecular weight compounds, called siderophores, which have high affinity for binding Fe^{3+} . Most siderophores are produced by the non-ribosomal peptide synthesis (NRPS) pathway and an example is the siderophore enterochelin. The final steps of the pathway are executed by the action of the Ent proteins (encoded by the *entD*, *entF*, and *entCEBA* genes). Aerobactin, another


siderophore, is sequentially produced by the proteins IucD, IucB, IucA, and IucC (Figure (Figure1A)1A) that are expressed in an operon (*iucABCD*). Aerobactin is an example of a siderophore not produced by the NRPS pathway. Transcriptional control of both siderophores is regulated by the concentration of intracellular Fe^{2+} ; when intracellular Fe^{2+} is low, the model bacterial organism, *Escherichia coli* induces siderophore production. The Fe-bound siderophores are subsequently transported into the cell to satisfy an Fe^{2+} requirement. Because Fe^{2+} transcriptionally controls expression of gene products that promote iron acquisition, Fe^{2+} was predicted to be a corepressor for a DNA-binding protein. Isolation of a mutant of *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* serovar Typhimurium (*S. Typhimurium*) that constitutively expresses iron uptake proteins supported this hypothesis. A mutation in Ferric Uptake Regulator (Fur) encoded by the *fur* gene was identified in *E. coli* mutants that exhibited constitutive expression of iron uptake genes. Fur is a DNA-binding protein that recognizes specific DNA sequences, utilizes Fe^{2+} or Mn^{2+} as a corepressor, and blocks transcription of target genes. Not surprisingly, the transcriptional control of *entD*, *entF*, *entCEBA*, and *iucABCD* is negatively regulated by Fur



[Figure 1](#)

The classic model of Fur repression of iron acquisition (*iucA* as an example). (A) Biosynthesis of the siderophore aerobactin requires several genes located in an operon (*iucABCD*, *iutA*). Expression of the initial gene, *iucA*, is Fur-repressed and production of aerobactin is known to be produced by virulent strains of bacteria, especially strains causing disease in avian hosts (i.e., Avian pathogenic *E. coli* or APEC). The sequential enzymatic activity of IucD, IucB, IucC, and IucA convert L-lysine into aerobactin, a potent Fe-scavenging siderophore. (B) There are two Fur-binding sites (FBS) for Fe-dependent regulation of *iucA*. Both FBS are located within the P1 promoter (overlapping the -35 and also the -10 sites). Under conditions of Fe-deprivation (left panel), there is increased transcription (signified by a +1) of the *iucABCD* genes whose protein products form a biosynthetic pathway that produces aerobactin. Under Fe-replete conditions (right panel), Fur binds to DNA at the FBS (green box) and blocks access of the -35 and -10 sites by RNA polymerase (RNAP, blue shape). The collective work supports a simple model for the molecular mechanism of Fur repression that consists of Fur binding to *cis* regulatory elements of a gene and preventing the binding of the RNA polymerase holoenzyme (RNAP) (Figure (Figure1B)1B). As a transcriptional repressor, Fur- Fe^{2+} homodimer binds to the operator site of a target promoter. However, Fur can form a multimeric complex with DNA sequences extending beyond the operator site. Initial studies defined the Fur-binding site (the Fur box) as an ≈ 19 bp DNA sequence with dyad symmetry, GATAATGATAATCATTATC. Insertion of this sequence into an operator site in the promoter of a non- Fe^{2+} regulated gene results in derepression under Fe^{2+} -limiting conditions. In an elegant approach to define Fur regulated genes within bacteria, a high copy number plasmid containing randomly cloned DNA sequences from Gram positive and negative bacteria were transformed into an *E. coli* strain that harbored a single copy of a *fhuF::lacZ* reporter fusion. Fur represses transcription of the *fhuF* gene, which encodes a protein involved in the acquisition of Fe^{3+} . If the cloned DNA fragment on the high copy number plasmid contains a Fur-binding site, then Fur proteins will be titrated away from the promoter of *fhuF* resulting in derepression of the *fhuF::lacZ* fusion, which can be qualitatively detected during growth on MacConkey agar plates or quantified by a β -

galactosidase assay. This assay is called the Fur titration assay (FURTA) and has been used to study Fur regulation for nearly 20 years. *In toto*, these works solidified the role of Fur as a Fe²⁺-dependent transcriptional repressor. However, global gene expression studies have identified numerous genes that require Fur for expression .



[Figure 2](#)

Models of the Fur-dependent activation of gene expression in bacteria. (A) Fur activation through “*ryhB*-dependent” mechanism (SodB as an example). Fur is indirectly required for the expression of the FeSOD (SodB) in bacteria through the sRNA *ryhB* . Under conditions of Fe²⁺ depletion (top panel), Fur is unable to directly repress transcription of the sRNA *ryhB* (or its paralog). This results in an increase in the level of *ryhB* within the cell. The RNA chaperone Hfq binds to *ryhB* and to the target mRNA of *sodB*, which through the RNase-dependent cleavage (cleavage sites are signified by filled triangles) reduces the half-life of *sodB* mRNA and reduces SodB protein within the cell. The Fur activation of *sodB* is diminished in the absence of Hfq or *ryhB* . When Fur is activated during Fe²⁺ replete conditions (bottom panel), transcription of *ryhB* is blocked, which increases the half-life of *sodB* mRNA allowing for enhanced production of SodB protein and FeSOD activity. **(B)** Fur activation through “RNAP recruitment” mechanism (Examples from *S. Typhimurium* and *H. Pylori*). *In vitro* transcription assays with *H. pylori norB* regulatory sequences) and *S. Typhimurium hilD* regulatory sequences demonstrate an active Fur-Fe²⁺ binding to a FBS (signified with a green box) that promotes increased binding of the RNAP (signified with a blue shape) to the promoter and transcription of the target gene (signified with a +1). In both examples, the regulatory sequences of *norB* and *hilD* contain a repression site (signified with a red box) that may overlap the FBS (an ArsR-binding site with *norB*) or be located immediately downstream of the FBS (an H-NS binding site with *hilD*). If Fur-Fe²⁺ physically contacts the RNAP is unknown. **(C)** Fur activation through “antirepressor” mechanism (FtnA as an example). In *E. coli*, expression of the *ftnA* gene is Fur activated, but independent of the “*ryhB*-dependent” activation. Under Fe²⁺ poor conditions, H-NS binds upstream of the *ftnA* gene and represses transcription (top panel). When Fur is activated, Fur-Fe²⁺ binds to several FBS located upstream of *ftnA*, which prevents H-NS nucleation at the *ftnA* promoter and repressing transcription (bottom panel). In this example, Fur is required to block H-NS binding and can physically remove H-NS from the upstream regulatory site, which allows for *ftnA* expression.

Mechanisms of activation of gene expression via DNA binding by fur: location, location, location

Global gene expression studies have identified genes that require Fur for . Earlier work demonstrated a unique mechanism for Fur activation in *N. meningitidis* that involves Fur directly binding to *cis* regulatory elements upstream of a Fur-activated gene . Unlike Fur-repressed genes that possess a characteristic Fur-binding site overlapping the RNAP-binding site, Fur-activated genes [*norB*, *panI* (*aniA*), and *nuoA*] contain Fur boxes located ≈100 bp upstream of the transcriptional start site, while the Fur-repressed *tbp* contains a Fur box that overlaps with the RNAP-binding site. The Fur box and activation of *norB*, which encodes a protein responsible for protection against NO, is conserved in *N. gonorrhoeae* . Moreover, in *Helicobacter pylori*, Fur activates expression of *oorB*, which encodes a 2-oxoglutarate:acceptor oxidoreductase, by directly binding to a *cis* regulatory elements located 130 bp upstream of the transcriptional start site. The importance of OorB in virulence is demonstrated by the significant reduction in colonization of the chicken gut by a Δ *oorB* mutant strain of *Campylobacter jejuni* . In *V. cholera*,

Fur activates expression of the outer membrane porin, *ompT*, through binding a Fur box located 90 bp upstream of the transcriptional start site. In *S. Typhimurium*, transcription of the virulence factor *hilD* is activated by Fur through a Fur box located nearly 200 bp upstream of the transcriptional start site. HilD is an AraC/XylS-type DNA-binding protein that regulates transcription of important virulence factors within *S. Typhimurium* and is required for infection. Importantly, the sequence of the Fur box site for activated genes is virtually identical to the Fur box of repressed genes. Collectively, the molecular evidence suggests the location of the Fur box in proximity to the RNAP-binding site determines the ability of Fur to activate gene expression.

How does Fur activate gene expression? *In vitro* transcription experiments demonstrate that Fur can activate transcription of a target gene even though the Fur boxes are located ≈ 100 and 200 bp upstream of the transcriptional start site, respectively. This example of Fur activation is rare, but may involve enhanced recruitment of RNAP to the promoter of target genes (“RNAP recruitment” activation model, Figure [Figure2B](#)). Surprisingly, addition of the Fur protein to the *in vitro* transcription assay stimulated the production of *hilD* mRNA, which suggests improved recruitment of RNAP to the promoter of *hilD* even though the Fur box is nearly 200 bp upstream of the transcriptional start site. While deletion of *fur* reduces transcription of *hilD* overexpression of Fur results in little increased activation of the *hilD* promoter contrary to overexpression of a direct activator HilC, which increases *hilD*'s promoter activity by ≈ 5 -fold. These results indicate the role of Fur in direct transcriptional activation of a target gene is complex.

Transcriptional activators that bind upstream of the RNAP-binding site have been shown to interact with the C-terminal domain of the α subunit (α -CTD) of RNAP, which promotes transcription of the target gene. Contact between activators and α -CTD is inhibited when the upstream activator binding site is ≥ 100 bp upstream of the transcriptional start site. Thus, transcription factor binding sites located further than 100 bp upstream of the transcriptional start site are unlikely to interact physically with the α -CTD of RNAP. However, oligomerization of the Fur protein at Fur boxes is known to occur, which suggests Fur proteins may extend to interact with other proteins nearby. Whether Fur contacts the RNAP is not known, but emerging *in vivo* evidence indicates there is another plausible molecular mechanism for Fur-dependent activation through binding DNA at a distal regulatory site.

Roles of Fur and H-NS in the regulation of *FtnA*

Fe^{2+} activates expression of the Fe-storage gene *ftnA* in a Fur-dependent manner. Overexpression of *ryhB* results in the down regulation of many Fe-cofactored proteins (i.e., SodB) and increases the intracellular Fe^{2+} concentration resulting in enhanced Fur activation. This is known as the “iron-sparing” response. Masse et al. theorized that Fur may negatively regulate a negative regulator of *ftnA*, which would manifest as a Fur activation. Evidence to support this theory was demonstrated by work from Simon C. Andrews' lab, which showed that Fur binds to a distal regulator site upstream of the RNAP-binding site in the promoter of *ftnA* to physically remove the histone-like protein, H-NS, which mediates repression of *ftnA*. Unlike the activation of *norB* and *hilD*, Fur was not required for transcription of *ftnA* using *in vitro* transcription assays. H-NS repressed transcription of *ftnA* and Fur was only required to relieve this repression. The role of Fur as an antirepressor in the activation of *ftnA* is supported with *in*

in vivo evidence: (1) *fur* is not required for *ftnA* expression in the absence of *hns*; and (2) *ftnA* expression is not reduced by Fe²⁺-chelation in Δhns . Fur activation of gene expression by this mode represents a 3rd type of activation, the “antirepressor” activation model (Figure (Figure2C),2C). *In vivo* evidence supports the antirepressor model as a major mechanism for Fur-dependent activation of gene expression. Evidence for the antirepressor model is evident in *N. gonorrhoeae* because the Fur-binding site upstream of *norB* is not required for activation of expression when the *norB* repressor, ArsR, is deleted. Thus, Fur antirepressor activity is an emerging model of Fur activation through DNA binding.

Fur controls defenses against ROS

During bacterial infection the host responds to non-self molecules and initiates a potent antimicrobial response. However, bacterial pathogens are well-adapted to defending against the host antimicrobial response. In many bacterial pathogens the defense against ROS requires the Fur protein. Enzymatic defense against ROS occurs by the rapid enzymatic dismutation of superoxide (O₂⁻) by superoxide dismutases (SODs) and detoxification of H₂O₂ by hydroperoxidases [i.e., the heme containing peroxidase/catalase (HPI), and the heme containing catalase (HPII)]. Unlike most pathogenic bacteria, *S. Typhimurium* contains 6 genes whose gene products are devoted toward degradation of H₂O₂. HPI (encoded by *katG*), HPII (encoded by *katE*), a Mn-dependent catalase (encoded by *katN*), an NADH-dependent alkyl peroxidase system (encoded by *ahpCF*), and two thiol specific peroxidases (encoded by *tsaA* and *tpx*). HPII and KatN are under positive regulation by the alternative σ factor RpoS, whereas HPI is induced by the redox sensing regulator OxyR during hydrogen peroxide stress. In addition, OxyR activates expression of *ahpC* and also *fur*. Regulation of *tsaA* appears Fur-independent and there is a lack of evidence for whether Fe²⁺ and perhaps Fur regulate *tpx*. Deletion of any single gene or in combinations does not influence virulence; only the combined deletion of 5 out of the 6 genes results in reduced virulence signifying the importance of redundant H₂O₂ scavengers to virulence. As evident from studies in other bacterial pathogens, there are profound redundancies that contribute to resistance to H₂O₂ and virulence *in vivo*. Because SODs and H₂O₂-degrading enzymes require certain metals as cofactors for enzymatic function and because Fur is a redox sensing protein, it is not surprising that Fur is involved in the regulation of defenses against ROS.

SODs and HPI/HPII require the appropriate cofactors; Fe²⁺ is required for FeSOD (SodB) and Mn²⁺ for MnSOD (SodA) whereas heme is required for HPI and HPII function. Fur directly represses transcription of the gene encoding the MnSOD (*sodA*) and indirectly activates expression of the gene encoding the FeSOD (*sodB*). This indirect control of *sodB* requires the RNA chaperone Hfq or *ryhB*. In addition, Fur controls HPI/HPII activity in a complex manner that may depend on the ability of Fur to regulate biosynthesis of the heme cofactor. Surprisingly, despite the enhanced transcription of *sodA* in Δfur , a corresponding increase in MnSOD activity was not observed due to the increased [Fe²⁺] in the mutant. Indeed, increase in MnSOD activity in Δfur was only discernible upon supplementation of the growth medium with excess [Mn²⁺] in order to outcompete the available Fe²⁺ for the active site of MnSOD. Thus, with respect to O₂⁻ defense Δfur behaves phenotypically like $\Delta sodA\Delta sodB$ under Fe²⁺ replete conditions. The Fur regulation of Mn²⁺ transport is well-documented. Furthermore, because *katN* encodes a Mn-containing catalase and is activated by RpoS and repressed by H-NS, it is likely that Fur is involved in *katN* expression in *S. Typhimurium*. Thus, the modulation of the intracellular Mn²⁺

concentration will undoubtedly influence protection against ROS and likely virulence. In support of this, numerous studies have demonstrated the importance of Mn^{2+} in the regulation of virulence and infectivity . Likewise, additional members of the Fur family of metal-dependent transcription factors either bind Mn^{2+} directly and/or regulate Mn^{2+} transport.

Fe²⁺ sequestration by the host

Because Fur requires Fe^{2+} as a corepressor the availability of this metal controls Fur activity. Moreover, the Fe^{2+} -Fur complex is inactivated by ROS and reactive nitrogen species (RNS), both of which are generated by the host during infection. Humans and other higher eukaryotes produce numerous proteins that sequester free Fe^{2+} and heme to deprive the pathogens of iron and meanwhile prevent the toxic formation of ROS. A potent antimicrobial response, including ROS production, produced by innate cells of the host's immune system is activated in response to detection of pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) during bacterial infection. Innate cell activation by PAMPs initiates the synthesis of large amounts of Fe^{2+} sequestering proteins to limit the available Fe pool for the pathogen, known as “nutritional immunity” and activates signaling pathways that causes the host to reduce dietary absorption of Fe that is known as “the anemia of inflammation.” In addition, the host responds to infection by increasing the body temperature (the febrile response) as a means to inhibit bacterial growth. The antimicrobial host factors produced during activation of nutritional immunity can be inhibited by the addition of Fe . Furthermore, the febrile response to bacterial pathogens is antimicrobial, in part, due to the reduced ability of bacteria to acquire Fe^{2+} at febrile temperatures .

Anemia of inflammation by the host in response to infection has been known for more than 60 years and the host protein, hepcidin, controls this response. In addition, hepcidin is a host factor that strongly reduces the absorption of dietary Fe . Because Fe^{2+} is required for cellular function within nearly all cells, limiting the availability of Fe^{2+} starves pathogens for Fe^{2+} and weakens the pathogens' ability to combat antimicrobial responses by the host. Not surprisingly, there is fierce competition for accessibility of Fe^{2+} during infection. Phagocytosis of the intracellular pathogen *S. Typhimurium* by macrophages enhances expression of the Fe^{2+} export protein ferroportin, which limits the available Fe^{2+} during intracellular residence of *S. Typhimurium* . Expression of ferroportin correlates directly with reduced bacterial burden of several intracellular pathogens . Thus, the host responds to infection by sequestering Fe^{2+} from the local environment of pathogens, limits the absorption of dietary Fe resulting in a very Fe^{2+} limiting host environment, and restricts available Fe^{2+} within the phagosome.

An important host factor that controls bacterial infection is the natural resistance-associated macrophage protein 1 (NRAMP1, also known as *SLC11A1*) and several research groups determined the contribution of the *SLC11A1* locus to severity of infection within animal models . *S. Typhimurium* lacking *fur* are avirulent within mice with a functional NRAMP1, whereas the isogenic parent is fully virulent. Mice lacking a functional NRAMP1 are partially resistant to infection with Δfur demonstrating that Fur function is important for virulence, in part, independent of the host NRAMP1 function . Evidence indicates that Fur is functional within an unstimulated macrophage cell-line expressing either a functional or mutated NRAMP1 . The NRAMP1 protein is a highly conserved transporter of divalent cations and is expressed within phagocytic; NRAMP1 functions as a transporter of manganese (Mn^{2+}), Fe^{2+} , or cobalt (Co) and

is important for acidification of the phagosome . Furthermore, NRAMP1 promotes additional host factors of the antimicrobial response including production of nitric oxide (NO and production of lipocalin-2 (also called siderocalin), which binds to bacterial siderophores thereby sequestering bacterial Fe²⁺ acquisition proteins . However, bacteria have evolved a counter defense mechanism by producing salmochelins, which are structurally distinct from enterochelin and therefore not susceptible to binding by lipocalin-2 . RNS and NO perturb Fur-Fe²⁺ function within pathogens . NO is a crucial factor in the antimicrobial response and its production is regulated by Fe²⁺ . Consequently, the inability to generate NO increases the Fe²⁺ content within macrophages, splenic cells, and hepatocytes thereby increasing disease severity in animal models of infection . This signifies the importance of NRAMP1 in the ability to sequester Fe²⁺ from pathogens and in general antimicrobial response.

Control of virulence by the fur family of transcriptional regulators

The Fur protein contributes to virulence in animal models for numerous bacterial pathogens (Table (Table1).1). Although the precise mechanism for the observed attenuation of *fur* mutants is not clear, evidence indicates that a reduction in the activity of enzymes required for protection against ROS may be involved. Furthermore, virulence factors within the *fur* mutants exhibit altered expression or activity, which may additionally contribute to a decrease in virulence. Because Fur also controls expression or activity of enzymes within the TCA cycle, *fur* mutants are defective in the utilization of several carbon sources (i.e., succinate, etc.), which may contribute to the inability of *fur* mutants to cause disease within animal hosts.

Species	Animal/Host	Reference
<i>Acinetobacter baumannii</i>	India	Sharma et al. (2011), Pradhan et al. (2011)
<i>Aeromonas salmonicida</i>	Fish	Shinde et al. (2011)
<i>Campylobacter jejuni</i>	Avian	Shinde et al. (2011)
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Fish	Santander et al. (2011)
<i>Haemophilus influenzae</i>	Chickens	Sharma et al. (2011)
<i>Helicobacter pylori</i>	Monkey	Ray-Munoz et al. (2011)
<i>Helicobacter pylori</i>	Goat	Sharma et al. (2011)
<i>Listeria monocytogenes</i>	Monkey	Rao et al. (2011)
<i>Providencia stuartii</i>	Fish	Wang et al. (2011)
<i>Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium</i>	Yak	Yadav et al. (2011), Chatterjee et al. (2011)
<i>Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium</i>	Human macrophages	Sharma et al. (2011)

Table 1
Animal models of infection that require Fur for virulence.

There are additional transcription factors within the Fur family that require alternative metals to control gene regulation and virulence. First discovered by work in *B. subtilis* within the lab of John Helmann, PerR is widespread in other bacteria and contributes to virulence within. The DNA-binding activity of PerR is sensitive to relevant concentrations of H₂O₂ and upon metal-dependent oxidation results in derepression of target genes . PerR homodimers are detected as two forms, one which contains two ions of Zn²⁺/Fe²⁺ per monomer and one which contains two ions of Zn²⁺/Mn²⁺ per monomer. Only the Zn/Fe form is sensitive to H₂O₂-induced derepression and, as expected, PerR regulates genes whose protein products detoxify H₂O₂ .Thus, the H₂O₂-sensing of PerR is directly influenced by the Mn²⁺:Fe²⁺ ratio within the cell. Maintenance of the Mn²⁺:Fe²⁺ ratio is an important aspect within bacterial pathogens .

Zinc (Zn²⁺) uptake regulator (Zur) is a Fur family regulator that responds to Zn²⁺ and was discovered by two groups working with *E. coli* and *Bacillus subtilis* (*B. subtilis*) .As expected for

a Fur homolog, Zur represses transcription of Zn^{2+} uptake when bound to the corepressor Zn^{2+} . Because ribosomal proteins utilize Zn^{2+} for activity Zur also represses transcription of genes involved in mobilization of Zn^{2+} by ribosomal protein paralogs, which may allow for protein synthesis under conditions of Zn^{2+} limitation known as the “failsafe” model. The Zur protein or Zn^{2+} uptake systems have an important role for bacterial pathogens, which demonstrate the importance of Zn^{2+} acquisition during infection. The ability to acquire Zn^{2+} by bacterial pathogens is likely a broad requirement among bacterial pathogens during infection. More recently, a Fur-homolog was characterized as a Mn^{2+} -dependent DNA-binding protein (Mur). This regulator, originally isolated from *Rhizobium leguminosarum*, utilizes Mn^{2+} as a corepressor. In contrast to Fur and Zur, the role of Mur in bacterial pathogenesis is less understood. However, genes regulated by Mur are important for virulence in the pathogen *Brucella abortus*, which indicates Mur function may be important to virulence.

[Go to:](#)

Conclusions

The Fur family of transcriptional regulators control virulence, defense against ROS, and transport of Fe^{2+} , Zn^{2+} , and Mn^{2+} . Because of the anemia of inflammation and nutritional immunity exerted by the host during infection, metals are in low abundance in response to infection. In this metal-poor environment, the demetaleted Fur would allow for efficient acquisition of iron and enhances the fitness of the pathogen; however, deletion of *fur* most often results in partial or complete attenuation within animal models of infection. Notably, deletion of *fur* results in reduced expression of active enzymes responsible for defense against ROS, reduced expression of key metabolic pathways, and reduced expression of important virulence factors. This signifies that Fur's critical contribution to virulence may not be due to its classical role as a transcriptional repressor of metal acquisition (Figure (Figure1),1), but to its complex role as a transcriptional activator of virulence (Figure (Figure22)).