

Thermal Modeling of Thermosyphon Integrated Heat Sink for CPU Cooling

A. Shanmuga Sundaram, Anirudh Bhaskaran

Mechanical Department, Rajalakshmi Institute of Technology, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India E-mail: krrbanirudh@gmail.com Received June 26, 2011; revised July 20, 2011; accepted August 5, 2011

Abstract

A thermal model has been developed to study the thermal behavior of Thermosyphon integrated Heat Sink during CPU cooling. An Indirect cooling module has been experimentally studied and analyzed under steady state condition for both natural and forced convection. The thermal model is employed to determine the actual heat transfer and the effectiveness of the present model and compared it with the conventional cooling method and found that there is an appreciable improvement in the present model.

Keywords: Thermosyphon, Heat Sink, Effectiveness, Conventional Cooling Method

1. Introduction

Thermosyphons are two phase closed heat transfer system containing small amount of liquid which uses the latent heat of evaporation and condensation to transfer heat between the heat source and the sink without any external devices. The amount of heat that can be transported by these systems is normally several orders of magnitude greater than pure conduction through a solid metal [1]. A thermosyphon needs only a temperature difference to transfer large amount of heat, hence such reliable heat transfer devices can be used in thermal management systems with limited space applications and other heat recovery systems.

Heat sinks are effective heat transfer devices which have larger heat transfer area and lower thermal resistance between the source and the sink, thereby enhancing the heat transfer. The integration of both thermosyphon and heat sink will additionally enhance the heat transfer between the source and the sink during CPU cooling through heat transfer mechanisms of conduction and convection.

The Thermosyphon Heat Sink integrated system works on both conduction and convection mechanism. The heat dissipated from the source is conducted through the heat sink base attached with a Thermal Interfacing Material (TIM) in order to reduce the contact thermal resistance between heater and the base. The heat from the base is transferred to heat sink and to the thermosyphons through conduction. The thermosyphon filled with R-134a as a refrigerant convects heat in to it and thereby causes the liquid to vapourize as it reaches its saturation temperature. The vapour carries the latent heat to the condenser kept inside the air duct with a tube axial fan mounted on it for forced convection. The relatively cooler medium at the condenser causes the vapour to condense and form film condensation which flows back to the evaporator through the wall of the thermosyphon due to gravity. In order to enhance the heat transfer at the condenser, a u-shaped longitudinal fin is bonded with the thermosyphons. This entire cycle repeats again and again to maintain the operating temperature of the CPU within the limit.

F.Tardy *et.al* [2] developed a mathematical model for heat pipes in thermal storage. Finned heat pipes were used to transfer heat from the evaporator placed in an air stream flow to the condenser placed in a storage tank containing ice. The thermal behavior of heat pipes have been studied experimentally and analysed under different conditions. H.Jouhara *et.al* [3] developed a thermal model for a small diameter thermosyphon charged with water, FC-84, FC-77, FC-3283 and an experimental investigation were carried out for water and the dielectric heat transfer liquids and the thermosyphon thermal performance have been presented.

2. Thermal Resistance Network Modeling

2.1 Calculation of Thermal Resistance

The actual heat transfer for the Thermosyphon Heat Sink integration system can be found out by considering the thermal resistance shown in **Figure 1**.



Figure 1. Thermal resistance network of the Thermosyphon Heat Sink integrated system.

$$R_{\text{tot}} = \frac{1}{\left[\left(R_{\text{HB}} + R_{\text{BS}} + R_{\text{HS}} + R_{\text{TF}} + R_{\text{FA}} \right) + \left(\frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{R_{\text{axial}}} + \frac{1}{R_{\text{tube},\text{E}}} + R_{\text{boiling}} + R_{\text{PD}} + R_{\text{cond}} + R_{\text{tube},\text{c}}} + \frac{1}{R_{\text{HA}}} \right) \right]}$$
(1)

The thermal resistance given in (1) represents the total thermal resistance across the heat sink, thermosyphon and the U – shaped longitudinal fin. The explanation of the thermal resistance is given in the nomenclature.

2.1.1 Heat Transfer within the Thermosyphon

The heat transfer taking place within the thermosyphon can be described by calculating the convective heat transfer coefficient for boiling as provided by Imura et al [4],

$$h_{evap} = 0.32 q_e^{0.4} \times \left\{ \frac{\rho_1^{0.65} K_1^{0.3} C_{pl}^{0.7} g^{0.2}}{\rho_g^{0.25} h_{fg}^{0.4} \mu_1^{0.1}} \right\} \times \left(\frac{P}{P_a} \right)^{0.3}$$
(2)

Thermal resistance of the evaporative section is given by [2],

$$R_{\text{boiling}} = \frac{1}{h_{\text{evap}} \times A_{\text{evap,int}}} = \frac{1}{h_{\text{evap}} \pi D_{\text{int}} L_{e} N}$$
(3)

Heat transfer within thermosyphon at the condenser section can be described by calculating the convective heat transfer coefficient due to film condensation as

Copyright © 2011 SciRes.

proposed by Nusselt [5],

$$h_{cond}$$

$$= 0.943 \left\{ \frac{\rho_{1}gk_{1}^{3}(\rho_{1}-\rho_{V})\left[h_{fg}+0.68C_{pl}(T_{sat}-T_{W})\right]}{\mu_{1}L_{c}(T_{sat}-T_{W})} \right\}^{0.25}$$
(4)

Thermal resistance of the condenser section is given by [2],

$$R_{\text{cond}} = \frac{1}{h_{\text{cond}} \times A_{\text{cond,int}}} = \frac{1}{h_{\text{cond}} \pi D_{\text{int}} L_c N}$$
(5)

The resistance due to the pressure drop of the vapour as it flows from evaporator to condenser is given by,

$$\mathbf{R}_{\rm PD} = \frac{\mathbf{T}_{\rm sat}^{\rm E} - \mathbf{T}_{\rm sat}^{\rm C}}{\mathbf{Q}_{\rm latent}} \tag{6}$$

2.1.2. Thermosyphon Wall Thermal Resistances

Thermal resistance across the thickness of the thermosyphon can be calculated as [2],

JECTC

$$R_{\text{tube,E}} = \frac{\left(\frac{r_{\text{tube,int}}}{2\pi K_{\text{tube}} L_{e}} \right)}{2\pi K_{\text{tube}} L_{e}}$$
(7)

$$R_{\text{tube,C}} = \frac{\ln \left(\begin{array}{c} r_{\text{tube,ext}} \\ r_{\text{tube,int}} \end{array} \right)}{2\pi K_{\text{tube}} L_{c}}$$
(8)

Thermal resistance along the axial length of the thermosyphon can be calculated as [3],

$$R_{axial} = \frac{0.5L_{e} + L_{a} + 0.5L_{c}}{K_{tube}A_{cs}}$$
(9)

2.1.3. Thermal Resistance of the Heat Sink

Thermal resistance of the heat sink proceeds from the contact resistance between the heat source and the base plate at its interface. A thermal pad (T-250) as a Thermal Interfacing Material (TIM) of thickness 0.25mm and thermal conductivity of 1W/m°C is attached between heat source and base plate in order to reduce the contact thermal resistance occurring at the interface. TIM has its ability to change its physical characteristics when it reaches the case operating temperature by filling the interfacial air gaps and surface voids in the base plate and heater surface.

$$R_{\rm HB} = \frac{t_{\rm TP}}{K_{\rm TP} A_{\rm TP}}$$
(10)

Thermal resistance between the base and the heat sink is given by,

$$R_{BS} = \frac{L_b}{K_b A_b}$$
(11)

Thermal resistance across the heat sink can be described as [7],

$$R_{\rm HS} = \frac{1}{h(A_b + N_f \eta_f A_f)}$$
(12)

2.1.4. Fin-Air Thermal Resistance

The parallel plate fins and the u-shaped longitudinal fins exists at the evaporator and condenser sections respectively and the heat transfer coefficient as proposed by Brigg's and young [6] is given by,

$$h_{air} = \frac{K_{air}}{D_{air}} \times 0.1378 \times \text{Re}_{air}^{0.718} \text{Pr}_{air}^{0.333} \left(\frac{S_{f}}{L_{f}}\right)^{0.296}$$
(13)

Thermal resistance between the air and the fins at the evaporator and condenser section is given by [2],

$$R_{FA} = \frac{l}{h_{air} N_f \eta_f A_f} = R_{HA}$$
(14)

2.1.5. Calculation of Heat Transfer Rate and Effectiveness of the System

Actual heat transfer rate includes the latent heat received from the condensing vapour and the sensible heat from the TPCT.

$$Q_{latent} = \frac{T_{sat}^{E} - T_{sat}^{C}}{R_{PD}}$$
(15)

Sensible heat transfer due to the change in surface temperature of TPCT,

$$Q_{\text{sensible}} = \frac{T_b - T_{\infty}}{R_{\text{tot}} - R_{\text{HB}} - R_{\text{boiling}} - R_{\text{PD}} - R_{\text{cond}}} \quad (16)$$

Actual heat transfer rate is given by,

$$Q_{actual} = Q_{latent} + Q_{sensible} \tag{17}$$

Effectiveness of the system is given by,[2]

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\text{Actual heat transfer}}{\text{Maximum heat transfer}}$$
(18)

Maximum heat transfer is attained when the condenser temperature is equal to the outside evaporator temperature.

3. Experimental Setup and Procedure

The experimental setup of the cooling module consists of three sections namely: 1) Evaporator 2) Adiabatic and 3) Condenser as shown in Figure 2. The evaporator section consists of the heat sink with 24 parallel plate fins each of 100 mm² \times 100 mm² area and 0.8 mm thickness bonded to a base plate of 100 mm² \times 100 mm² area and 6mm thickness made of copper. A plate heater acts as a heat source of 400W capacity is attached to the base plate by means of a Thermal Interfacing Material T-250 of thickness 0.25 mm with a thermal conductivity of 1W/m°C and a thermal resistance of 0.49°C/W. Six TPCT of 9.52mm outer diameter and 7.92 mm inner diameter and having a thickness of 0.8 mm is bonded along with the parallel plate fins in a staggered arrangement. This evaporator section has a chosen length of 100 mm. The adiabatic section has a chosen length of 50 mm and the condenser section having a length of 150 mm is fitted with the longitudinal u-shaped fins made of copper and bonded along with the TPCT. Each TPCT is bonded with 12 fins of 0.8 mm thickness and height of 8.3 mm for the entire length of the condenser section. Thereby, the condenser section consists of 72 fins in total for 6 TPCT's. The condenser section is equipped with a cylindrical duct and a tube axial fan mounted on it to provide the forced convection condition. The tube axial fan has a volumetric flow rate of 127 CFM at 2000 rpm.



Figure 2. Experimental Model of Thermosyphon Heat Sink Integrated System.

The experiments were conducted for both natural convection and forced convection in which the later was carried out for the volumetric flow rates of 102 CFM, 115 CFM and 127 CFM for various heat inputs. The temperatures at the surface of the thermosyphon and the vapour

temperatures at the corresponding sections have been measured by 14 thermocouples and the readings were recorded by a data acquisition system. An air flow sensor was also incorporated to measure the flow rate of the air from the tube axial fan. The accuracy of the thermocouples was of ± 1.5 °C up to 200°C. The accuracy of air flow arrangement was $\pm 3\%$ of full scale. The working fluid in the thermosyphon was R134a. All the tests were performed under steady state conditions.

4. Results and Discussion

The aforementioned equations in the thermal resistance network modeling section were used to calculate the actual heat transfer rate and effectiveness of the system with the average experimental values. The Figure 3 shows the graphical variation of total thermal resistance versus the heat input for natural convection and forced convection for air flow rate of 102, 115, 127 CFM respectively. The total thermal resistance of the system for natural convection varies from 0.32 K/W to 0.33 K/W for the corresponding heat inputs. The total thermal resistance of the system for forced convection for the air flow rates of 102,115,127 CFM varies from 0.227 K/W to 0.233 K/W. The lowest thermal resistance was found to be at 127-CFM air flow rate at 70W heat input. This shows that when heat input is increased, the total thermal resistance of the system decreases by an insignificant amount.



Figure 3. Total thermal resistance of the system for various heat inputs.

Copyright © 2011 SciRes.

Similarly, the **Figure 4** shows the graphical variation of effectiveness of the system versus the heat input for natural and forced convection for an air flow rate of 102,115,127 CFM respectively. The effectiveness of the system for natural convection varies from 0.69 to 0.636 for the corresponding values of heat inputs. The effectiveness of the system for forced convection for air flow rates of 102,115 and 127 CFM varies from 0.803 to 0.878 for corresponding heat inputs. The maximum effectiveness of the system was to be 0.878 at 127CFm and 40W of heat input.

This shows that the system's performance will be maximum for forced convection at 127CFM air flow rate and the corresponding thermal resistance of the system is 0.23 K/W. The cooling module will dissipate maximum

amount of heat from the condenser to the surroundings when the air flow rate along the condenser is maximum.

Therefore, the performance evaluation shows a satisfactory result of appreciable increase in the system performance in the form of effectiveness and found to be 7% increase for natural convection when compared with the conventional cooling method and 25% increase for forced convection. A quantitative comparison on the conventional cooling method and the present cooling method is given in **Table 1**. The design specifications of the conventional cooling module are of a Pentium IV desktop CPU whose tube axial fan has a volumetric flow rate of 8CFM [8]. Moreover, the present cooling module is a scaled up model for experimental purpose and hence it required higher CFM tube axial fan.





Table 1. C	comparison of	f performance eva	luation result	ts between t	he conventional	l and the	present model.
------------	---------------	-------------------	----------------	--------------	-----------------	-----------	----------------

System	Conventional Heat Sink Effectiveness	Thermosyphon Heat Sink Integrated System Effectiveness				
	Forced Convection 90EM	Natural Convection	Forced Convection, CFM			
Power, W	Forced Convection, 8CFW		127	115	102	
40	0.65	0.69	0.878	0.874	0.87	
50	0.62	0.652	0.869	0.875	0.864	
60	0.59	0.641	0.832	0.826	0.825	
70	0.56	0.636	0.812	0.81	0.803	

5. Conclusions

The thermal modeling of Thermosyphon Heat Sink Integrated system for CPU cooling have been modeled, presented and discussed. An experimental setup has been constructed and tested at steady state condition from which the experimental values have been used to determine the effectiveness of the system. The cooling module proved to be fairly better than the conventional cooling module as discussed previously. The results obtained from ex-perimental studies indicated that as the heat input value increases, both the thermal resistance and effectiveness decreases in a very small amount. Thus in order to enhance the performance of the cooling module, attention has to be taken on dominating individual resistances that constitute the R_{tot} , such as the resistance of power input to the evaporator and the resistance on the condenser side.

6. Acknowledgment

We take immense pleasure to express our gratitude to the management of Rajalakshmi Institute of Technology for their cooperation and encouragement for the completion of this project. We would like to express our special gratitude and thanks to Dr.R.V.Seeniraj for extending his technical expertise in completing this project.

7. References

- P. Dunn and D. A. Reay, "Heat Pipes," 4th Edition, Oxford, Burlington, 1994.
- [2] F. Tardy and Samuel M. Sami, "Thermal Analysis of Heat Pipes during Thermal Storage," *Applied Thermal Engineering*, Vol. 29, No. 2-3, 2009, pp. 329-333. doi:10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2008.02.037
- [3] H. Jouhara, O. Martinet and A. J. Robinson, "Experimental Study of Small Diameter Thermosyphons Charged with Water, FC-84, FC-77 & FC-3283," 5th European Thermal-Sciences Conference, Eindhoven, 18-22 May 2008.
- [4] H. Imura, H. Kusada, J. Oyata, T. Miyazaki and N. Sakamoto, "Heat Transfer in Two-PhaseClosed Thermosyphon," *Transactions of Japan Society of Mechanical Engineers*, Vol. 22, 1977, pp. 485- 493.
- [5] S. H. Noie, M. H. Kalaei and M. Khoshnoodi, "Experimental Investigation of Boiling and Condensation Heat Transfer of a Two Phase Closed Thermosyphon," *International Journal of Engineering*, Vol. 18, No. 1, 2005, pp. 37-43.
- [6] D. E. Briggs and E. H. Young, "Convection Heat Transfer and Pressure Drop of Air Flowing across Triangular Pitch Banks of Finned Tubes," *Chemical Engineering Progress Symposium Series*, Vol. 59, No. 41, 1963, pp. 1-10.
- [7] R. E. Simons, "Estimating Parallel Plate-Fin Heat Sink Thermal Resistance," *Calculation Corner-Electronics Cooling*, 2000.
- [8] A. Pal and Y. Joshi, "Design and Perfor- mance Evaluation of a Compact Thermosyphon," *Proceedings of the International Conference Thermes* 2002, Santa Fe, 13-16 January 2002.

_

Nomenclature

Nomenclature		Rtot	Total thermal resistance, K/W	
Qin	Heat Input, W	h	Heat transfer Coefficient, W/m2.K	
Q _{out}	Heat Output, W	qe	Heat Flux, W/m2	
T _h	Heater Temperature, °C	k	Thermal Conductivity, W/m.K	
T _b	Base Temperature, °C	hfg	Latent heat of vapourization, J/kg	
T _{hs}	Heat Sink Temperature, °C	g	Gravitational Force, m/s2	
T _{TPCT,in}	TPCT inner wall Temperature, °C	Cpl	Specific Heat, J/kg.K	
T _{TPCT,out}	TPCT outer wall Temperature, °C	Ν	Number of TPCT	
T^{E}_{sat}	Evaporator saturation Temperature, °C	Sf	Fin pitch, m	
T ^C _{sat}	Condenser saturation Temperature, °C	Nf	Number of fins	
T_{amb}, T_{∞}	Ambient Temperature, °C	Lb	Length of Thermal Pad, m	
T_w	Tube wall temperature, °C	TP	Thermal Pad	
A, A _{cs}	Cross Sectional area of TPCT, m ²	ATP	Area of Thermal Pad, m2	
Tf	Fin temperature, °C	Ab	Area of base plate, m2	
RHB	Heater to Base Resistance, K/W	Re	Reynold's Number	
RBS	Base to Sink Resistance, K/W	Pr	Prandtl Number	
RHS	Heat Sink Thermal Resistance, K/W	Le	Evaporator length, m	
Rtube,E	Evaporator side tube Resistance, K/W	Lc	Condenser length, m	
Rtube,C	Condenser side tube Resistance, K/W	La	Adiabatic length, m	
Rboiling	Evaporator Boiling Resistance, K/W	Lf	Fin length, m	
Rcond	Film Condensation Resistance, K/W	Р	Saturation Pressure, bar	
RTF	TPCT to fin resistance, K/W	3	Effectiveness	
RFA	Fin to air resistance, K/W	μ	Dynamic Viscosity, N-S/m2	
Raxial	Axial resistance of TPCT, K/W	ηf	Efficiency of the fin, %	